

Task 12 Recommended FMEs to be Performed

7/11/2022

Action Number	Action Name	County	Sponsor	Source (Type)	Task 12 Rec
101000043	Edison & Creek Street	Gillespie	Fredericksburg	Drainage Master Plan	
101000051	Bob White Trail	Gillespie	Fredericksburg	Drainage Master Plan	
101000053	N Edison Low Water Crossing	Gillespie	Fredericksburg	Drainage Master Plan	
101000054	Schubert Low Water Crossing	Gillespie	Fredericksburg	Drainage Master Plan	
101000116	Whitman Branch Bypass; Oak Ridge Drive Creek	Burnet	Marble Falls	Hazard Mitigation Plan	
101000165	Whitman Branch Regional Detention Pond	Burnet	Marble Falls	Flood Protection Planning Study	
101000166	Ave J Bridge Replacement	Burnet	Marble Falls	Staff	
101000167	Broadway Street at Whitman Branch Low Water Crossing	Burnet	Marble Falls	Staff	
101000027	FM 812 at Little Alum Creek	Bastrop	Bastrop Co.	Flood Protection Planning Study	
101000028	FM 812 at Alum Creek South	Bastrop	Bastrop Co.	Flood Protection Planning Study	
101000102	Piney Creek Benching	Bastrop	Bastrop Co.	Flood Protection Planning Study	
101000125	Alum Creek - Tributary 8, Bowie Drive	Bastrop	Bastrop Co.	Flood Protection Planning Study	
101000189	Wastewater Treatment Plant Floodproofing	Jackson	Edna	Hazard Mitigation Plan	

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca
**REGIONAL FLOOD
PLANNING GROUP**

Title ID#
Sponsor (name of entity) Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

REGION 10

Study Type

Problem Area

City County
Watershed name
Tributary(ies)
HUC# Stream miles (est.)
Drainage area: square miles, est or acreage, est
Social vulnerability index
Other



Flood Risk Description

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The existing crossing/bridge class structure is a multi-box (2) culvert-bridge. The proposed improvements include upgrades to the subject crossing. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 117.

Population at risk Structures at risk Critical facilities at risk (number)
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

10000027 - Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost Potential funding source(s)

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10

Title **Bob White Trail** ID# **101000051**
Sponsor (name of entity) **Fredericksburg (Municipality)** Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City **Fredericksburg** County **Gillespie**
Watershed **Muesebach Creek - Pedernales River**
name(s)
Tributary(ies) **Unnamed Tributary**
HUC# **12090206** Stream miles (est.) **TBD**
Drainage area: square miles, est. **0.01** or acreage, est. **4**
Social vulnerability index **0.1**
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other **Roadway/Crossing Improvements & Storm Drainage System**



Flood Risk Description

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The existing crossing is a corrugated metal pipe crossing. The proposed improvements include a multi-pipe (2) culvert. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 265. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk **0** Structures at risk **0** Critical facilities at risk **0**
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) **0** Roadway(s) impacted (miles) **0.10**

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing culvert crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost **\$50,000** Potential funding source(s) **TBD**

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10


Title ID#
Sponsor (name of entity) Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City County
Watershed
name(s)
Tributary(ies)
HUC# Stream miles (est.)
Drainage area: square miles, est. or acreage, est.
Social vulnerability index
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other



Flood Risk Description

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The existing crossing is a single pipe culvert. The proposed improvements include redesigning the intersection and installing FEWS. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 265. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk Structures at risk Critical facilities at risk
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

2.1 Increase the number of communities with warning and emergency response capabilities, or which participate in regional flood warning systems (e.g., City of Austin Flood Early Warning System) that can detect flood threats in real time and provide timely warning of impending flood danger. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost Potential funding source(s)

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10

Title Schubert Low Water Crossing ID# 101000054
Sponsor (name of entity) Fredericksburg (Municipality) Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City Fredericksburg County Gillespie
Watershed Barons Creek
name(s)
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary
HUC# 12090206 Stream miles (est.) TBD
Drainage area: square miles, est. 2.43 or acreage, est. 1,556
Social vulnerability index 0.1
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other Roadway/Crossing Improvements & Channel Improvements



Flood Risk Description

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The existing crossing consists of a single pipe culvert. The proposed improvements include lowering the channel and adding drop structures and installing five 9'x5' box culverts. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 269. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0 Structures at risk 0 Critical facilities at risk 0
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0 Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.10

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$50,000 Potential funding source(s) TBD

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10


Title ID#
Sponsor (name of entity) Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City County
Watershed
name(s)
Tributary(ies)
HUC# Stream miles (est.)
Drainage area: square miles, est. or acreage, est.
Social vulnerability index
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other



Flood Risk Description

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops, potentially impacting surrounding structures. The proposed improvements include installing a 50 foot wide bypass channel. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 265. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk Structures at risk Critical facilities at risk
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate the area. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost Potential funding source(s)

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10


Title ID#
Sponsor (name of entity) Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City County
Watershed
name(s)
Tributary(ies)
HUC# Stream miles (est.)
Drainage area: square miles, est. or acreage, est.
Social vulnerability index
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other



Flood Risk Description

The area of concern along Whitman Branch has insufficient channel capacity and undersized bridge/culvert crossings. The area has experienced excessive flow depth and velocity, has structures at risk, historical flood damages, and channel erosion. The existing flood risk is not well defined, and the risk indicators are based on the study area. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk Structures at risk Critical facilities at risk
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Scope of Study

The study will build upon and update previously conducted flood risk reduction studies. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost

Cost Potential funding source(s)

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10

Title ID#
Sponsor (name of entity) Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City County
Watershed name(s)
Tributary(ies)
HUC# Stream miles (est.)
Drainage area: square miles, est. or acreage, est.
Social vulnerability index
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other



Flood Risk Description

The existing bridge overtops. The proposed improvements include improvements/replacement of the existing bridge. The existing bridge is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 2,447. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk Structures at risk Critical facilities at risk
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost Potential funding source(s)

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10

Title **Broadway Street at Whitman Branch Low Water Crossing** ID# **101000167**
Sponsor (name of entity) **Marble Falls (Municipality)** Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City **Marble Falls** County **Burnet**
Watershed **Hamilton Creek - Lake Travis**
name(s)
Tributary(ies) **Whitman Branch**
HUC# **12090205** Stream miles (est.) **TBD**
Drainage area: square miles, est. **5.65** or acreage, est. **3,617**
Social vulnerability index **0.19**
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other **Roadway/Crossing Improvements & Channel Improvements**



Flood Risk Description

The existing culvert crossing is undersized and overtops. The proposed improvements include enlarging the existing culverts. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 2,220. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk **0** Structures at risk **0** Critical facilities at risk **0**
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) **0** Roadway(s) impacted (miles) **0.20**

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing culvert crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost **\$100,000** Potential funding source(s) **TBD**

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10

Title **FM 812 at Little Alum Creek** ID# **101000027**
Sponsor (name of entity) **Bastrop (County)** Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City **N/A** County **Bastrop**
Watershed **Alum Creek - Walnut Creek**
name(s)
Tributary(ies) **Little Alum Creek**
HUC# **12090301** Stream miles (est.) **TBD**
Drainage area: square miles, est. **1.88** or acreage, est. **1,201**
Social vulnerability index **0.61**
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other **Roadway/Crossing Improvements & Channel Improvements**



Flood Risk Description

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The existing crossing is a bridge. The proposed improvements include construction of a 200 foot bridge and 2,200 feet of channel modifications. The existing main stem road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 9,088. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk **75** Structures at risk **25** Critical facilities at risk **0**
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) **60** Roadway(s) impacted (miles) **0.31**

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossings and channel modifications. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost **\$100,000** Potential funding source(s) **TBD**

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10

Title **FM 812 at Alum Creek South** ID# **101000028**
Sponsor (name of entity) **Bastrop (County)** Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City **N/A** County **Bastrop**
Watershed **Alum Creek - Walnut Creek**
name(s)
Tributary(ies) **Alum Creek**
HUC# **12090301** Stream miles (est.) **TBD**
Drainage area: square miles, est. **1.21** or acreage, est. **772**
Social vulnerability index **0.61**
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other **Roadway/Crossing Improvements & Channel Improvements**



Flood Risk Description

The existing crossings are undersized and overtop. The existing crossing is a bridge. The proposed improvements include construction of a 100 foot bridge and 1,700 feet of channel modifications. The existing main stem road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 9,088. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk **2** Structures at risk **1** Critical facilities at risk **0**
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) **28** Roadway(s) impacted (miles) **0.08**

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossings and channel modifications. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost **\$100,000** Potential funding source(s) **TBD**

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10


Title ID#
Sponsor (name of entity) Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City County
Watershed
name(s)
Tributary(ies)
HUC# Stream miles (est.)
Drainage area: square miles, est. or acreage, est.
Social vulnerability index
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other



Flood Risk Description

The existing channel from upstream of HWY 95 to the Colorado River is undersized threatening multiple road crossings as well as houses on Magnolia Street, Mesquite street, and in the Bastrop Estates Mobile Home Park. The city has identified channel benching (approx. 4,430 feet) to increase conveyance as a potential solution. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood-risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk Structures at risk Critical facilities at risk
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost Potential funding source(s)

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10

Title ID#
Sponsor (name of entity) Commitment Yes No
Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City County
Watershed
name(s)
Tributary(ies)
HUC# Stream miles (est.)
Drainage area: square miles, est. or acreage, est.
Social vulnerability index
(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)
Other



Flood Risk Description

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The existing crossing consists of multiple corrugated metal pipes. The proposed improvements include replacing the pipes with a larger multi-box culvert. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 320. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk Structures at risk Critical facilities at risk
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost Potential funding source(s)

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

REGION 10

Title ID#

Sponsor (name of entity) Commitment Yes No

Technical committee recommend Yes No RFPG recommend Yes No

Study Type

Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping and risk assessment Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
 Other

Problem Area

City County

Watershed
name(s)

Tributary(ies)

HUC# Stream miles (est.)

Drainage area: square miles, est. or acreage, est.

Social vulnerability index

(SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)

Other



Flood Risk Description

The plant is located within, and may be impacted by, the 100-year floodplain of Post Oak Branch. The area has existing local drainage problems and has experienced excessive flow depth and velocity. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk Structures at risk Critical facilities at risk

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Scope of Study

The flood study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to identify priority flood risk areas, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost

Cost

Potential funding source(s)