Flood Management Evaluation Memorandum

TO:	Lauren Graber Lower Colorado River Authority P.O. Box 220 Austin, TX 78767	DATE:	May 5, 2023
FROM:	Jay Scanlon, PE, CFM Freese and Nichols, Inc. F-2144 10431 Morado Circle, Suite 200 Austin, TX 78759	PROJECT:	LCRA Contract No. 5809 Halff AVO 43796.001 FNI HAF21363
SUBJECT:	FME ID: 101000043 Project Sponsor: City of Fredericksburg Project Name: Edison Street at Barons Creek		JEROME W SCANDON II 82077 STE OWAL

On September 15, 2022, the Lower Colorado-Lavaca Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) approved the evaluation of this Flood Management Evaluation (FME) to identify, evaluate and recommend additional potentially feasible Flood Mitigation Projects (FMP).

Introduction

The City's 2016 Drainage Master Plan (DMP) proposed local drainage improvements to Edison Street at Barons Creek. This action is included in the adopted Regional Flood Plan as a recommended FMP that anticipated local drainage improvements to include increasing the capacity of an existing channel and upsizing two culverts to provide safe access to adjacent houses. The project was identified and prioritized based on staff knowledge rather than detailed modeling. Based on the Sponsor request, the Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) recommended inclusion in the Regional Flood Plan (RFP) as FME 101000043.

Mr. Garret Bonn, Assistant City Engineer, and Interim Director of Development Services, was contacted to confirm the City's support to have the RFPG perform this FME, as described herein, as part of the Task 12 effort. Mr. Bonn confirmed the general nature of the flood problem, is supportive of the study, and assisted with local information and will review the report deliverables.

This FME includes updating the FEMA flood hazard analysis and mapping with ATLAS 14 rainfall data and evaluation of mitigation alternatives. If an alternative is determined to be feasible and provides flood risk reduction benefits the FME will include preliminary capital cost estimates, quantification of flood risk reduction benefits, benefit-cost analyses, adverse impacts evaluation, and a high-level evaluation of potential constraints including environmental permitting, utility relocations, right-of-way acquisition, and constructability issues in accordance with adopted FMP screening criteria.

Figure 1: Study Area Location



Modeling Analysis

The following sections provide an overview of the data, methodologies, hydrologic analysis, and hydraulic analysis used to identify the existing condition flood risk.

Data Collection

The sources of the key data collected and leveraged for analysis are listed below.

- Terrain Data: 2019 LiDAR (70cm), obtained from Texas Natural Resources Information System (TNRIS).
- Soils Data: 2022 Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey.
- Land Use Data: 2019 National Land Cover Database (NLCD).
- Hydraulic model: HEC-RAS 1D model for Barons Creek was obtained from Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Base Level Engineering (BLE) Tools and Resources website.
- FEMA effective model: obtained from the 2016 DMP study.
- Spot elevations obtained from LiDAR and City Proposed design drawings.



Hydrology

In the original HEC-RAS 1D BLE model, a Regression Equation was applied to calculate the peak flows. There is no HEC-HMS model available for the entire BLE area. To update the hydraulic model with NOAA Atlas 14 rainfall, a HEC-RAS 2D Rain-on-Grid model was developed to generate peak flows for the HEC-RAS 1D BLE model.

- Modeling Software: HEC-RAS version 6.3.1
- Rainfall Data: NOAA Atlas 14, 24-hour duration (2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year frequency storms).
- Loss Method: NRCS Curve Number loss rate method

Hydraulics

Because the study area is outside the main channel of Barons Creek, the HEC-RAS 2D rain-on-grid model with the Atlas 14 computed flows was utilized for hydraulic analysis. Structure data for the existing channel was developed using the LiDAR data and verified using plans developed for the proposed City design.

- Modeling Software: HEC-RAS version 6.3.1, 2D unsteady-state simulation.
- Hydrologic Data: see above.
- Boundary Conditions: Downstream normal depth

Existing Condition Flood Risk

The initial project was developed based on previous staff knowledge of street flooding and access issues in the vicinity of Edison Street at Peach Street. The streets within the study are considered residential and neighborhood collectors ranging in width from approximately 28- to over 50-ft wide. Curbs vary from non-existent to 12-inches. Based on model results, the maximum 100-year water depths in Edison Street and Bowie Street are approximately 1.31 and 1.71 feet, respectively. In addition, the maximum 100-year water surface elevation along the rear property lines between Edison and Bowie Streets is approximately 0.98 feet.

Table 1 presents maximum water surface depths for the 10-, 25- and 100-year events in Edison Street, Bowie Street, and at the rear property lines for the properties between the two streets. The existing inundation map is presented in **Figure 2.**

It is important to note that although the inundation map shows some structures within the study area are at-risk, the city does not have records of structural flooding. This is common for preliminary studies based on LiDAR data. The inundation limits reflect water surface elevations based on LiDAR generated contours and do not reflect constructed finished floor elevations. **Figure 3** is the street view of one of the potentially at-risk structures that shows the finished flood elevated above the nearest adjacent ground.

	10-yr (ft)	25-yr (ft)	100-yr (ft)
Edison Street	1.50	1.65	1.83
Bowie Street	1.81	1.98	2.09
Rear Property Line	1.25	1.35	1.57

Table 1: Peak Water Surface Depths

Figure 2: Existing Condition Flood Risk



Figure 3: Example of Elevated Finished Floor





Proposed Improvements

Alternatives evaluated included the originally proposed design and two alternatives. The alternate design included:

- Original Proposed Design Modifications to upsize the existing channel and culverts on the east side of Edison Stret.
- Alternate 1 Expand the original design to include a swale along the rear property lines (between Bowie
 and Edison Streets), install an area inlet and culvert to capture and convey flows to the improved channel
 on Edison Street.
- Alternate 2 Expand the proposed storm drains (consisting of curb inlets and 8' x 6' RCB trunk lines) to capture and convey runoff directly to Barons Creek in Acorn Street, Bowie Street, and Edison Street.

A comparison of the maximum water surface depths is presented in Table 2.

	10-уг (ft)			25-yr (ft)			100-уг (ft)		
	Original	Alt 1	Alt 2	Original	Alt 1	Alt 2	Original	Alt 1	Alt 2
Edison Street	1.37	1.37	1.05	1.42	1.42	1.14	1.77	1.77	1.31
Bowie Street	1.79	1.79	0.63	1.93	1.93	0.80	2.08	2.08	1.71
Rear Property Line	1.20	1.20	0.16	1.28	1.28	0.47	1.50	1.50	0.98

Table 2: Peak Water Surface Depths

The proposed inundation maps are shown in **Figures 4 and 5**. Because there is no measurable difference between the original design and Alternate 1, both are represented in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Original Design and Alternative 1 Inundation Mapping

Figure 5: Alternative 2 Inundation Mapping



Freese and Nichols discussed the results of the alternatives evaluation with Mr. Garret Bonn. Mr. Bonn indicated that based on the limited flood risk reduction and potential cost of the system, the project is not feasible and he would not support advancing the study to an FMP. However, he did indicate the city has concerns with outfalls from the TxDOT system along Main Street (Highway 89/290) as well as other upstream road crossings on Barons Creek. After further discussion, Mr. Bonn stated his preference to modify the existing Flood Management Evaluation (FME) to study the area southwest of Main Street from upstream of State Highway 16 to upstream of U.S. 290.

Proposed Improvements

Based on the limited flood reduction benefits versus cost of this FME, and with concurrence from the Sponsor, we recommend FME101000043 be modified to reflect a more comprehensive evaluation of the area reflected in **Figure 6**.

Figure 6: Revised Future Study Area



- End of Memorandum -

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY

Title Sponsor (note if City or County)		ID# Commitment Yes No				
Study Type Emergency preparedness Other	Floodplain modeling, mapping and ri	sk assessment	Feasibility s	tudy	Preliminary p	roject engineering
Problem Area		N	(Comicitory	A. ACHERINA	A Bares	FR
City	County		AND ALL ALL	and the second		HER H
Watershed name(s)		101WAY 290	- AL 3 4	- Action of the		- A A
Tributary(ies)		Basselun		E Contract St	1	
HUC#(s)	Stream miles (est.)	TOR. N	500	2		1 have to
Drainage area: square miles, est	or acreage, est	S Bowie	St			Ser 4 B
Social Vulnerability Index (SVI) (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable)	: 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)			No.	and the second	
Other			No. Whitney S.		Fre	denicksburg

Flood Risk Description

Population at risk Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Structures at risk

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Critical facilities at risk (number)

E. . . Frank

Scope of Study

Related Goal(s)

Estimated Study Cost

Lower Colorado-Lavaca

REGIONAL ELOOD



Technical Memorandum Attachments

Attachment 1. Flood Mitigation Project

• FMP Summary Sheet