# **Reclassified FMXs**

Action Number	Туре	Action Name	Sponsor	County	Notes	TC Rec (Y/N)	TC Date	RFPG Rec (Y/N)	RFPG Date
101000195	FMP	Spicewood Springs Road Low Water Crossing #1 Project	Travis County	Travis	FMP reclassified as FME (remaining data gaps)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000196	FMP	Navidad River - Stem Branch Erosion Control Structure Project	Jackson County	Jackson	FMP reclassified as FME (revised scope - not buyout)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000197	FMP	La Salle Erosion Control Structure Project	Jackson County	Jackson	FMP reclassified as FME (revised scope - not buyout)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000198	FMP	Goat Trail Erosion Control Structure Project	Jackson County	Jackson	FMP reclassified as FME (revised scope - not buyout)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000199	FMP	County Road 106 Erosion Control Structure Project	Jackson County	Jackson	FMP reclassified as FME (revised scope - not buyout)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000200	FMP	Lake Travis/Cross Street Area Buyout Project	Jonestown	Travis	FMP reclassified as FME (Sponsor request larger area)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000201	FMP	Hays County Buyout Project	Hays County	Hays	FMP reclassified as FME (remaining data gaps)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000202	FMP	Dalton Lane Crossing Improvements Project	Austin	Travis	FMP reclassified as FME (remaining data gaps)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000203	FMP	Highland Hills Crossing Improvements Project	Travis County	Travis	FMP reclassified as FME (remaining data gaps)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000204	FMP	Shoal Creek - Nueces St Flood Risk Reduction Project	Austin	Travis	FMP reclassified as FME (remaining data gaps)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000205	FMP	Waller Creek - Guadalupe St Flood Risk Reduction Project	Austin	Travis	FMP reclassified as FME (remaining data gaps)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000206	FMP	Creek St at Barons Creek LWC Upgrade Project	Fredericksburg	Gillespie	FMP reclassified as FME (revised scope - Task 12 FME)	Y	12/1/2022		
101000207	FMP	Highway St Improvements Project	Fredericksburg	Gillespie	FMP reclassified as FME (remaining data gaps)	Y	12/1/2022		

Flood Manager	ment Evaluatio	on (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca
Title Spicewood Springs Road Low	Water Crossing #1 Project	ID# 101000195	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Travis (Cou	unty)	Commitment x Yes No	I LAMMIC ONCO
Technical committee recommend	Yes No RFPG reco	ommend Yes 🔀 No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mapping a	nd risk assessment Fe	easibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	Anderson Mill
City N/A	County Travis		
Watershed Bull Creek name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Bull Creek			Creek Greenbelt
HUC# 12090205,12070205 Stre	eam miles (est.) 0.10		
Drainage area: square miles, est 14.	86 or acreage, est. 9,512		183
Social vulnerability index 0.15 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable;	1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	620	
Other		all Ford	

The existing crossing consists of small pipe culverts and the roadway is overtopped in small, frequent, storm events (less than 5-yr). Road closures limit ingress/egress to several surrounding neighborhoods. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 1,979.

Population at risk 13

Structures at risk 10

and improved (a super) 202

res at risk 10

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 283

Scope of Study

Conduct (or enhance existing study) to evaluate the replacement of the low water crossing with a 200 foot bridge. Study will update existing hydrologic and hydraulic models (with Atlas 14 rainfall) as needed to refine preliminary design and provide additional information needed to meet TWDB requirements for a flood mitigation project including verifying no adverse impacts, updating the cost estimate and providing a benefit-cost-analysis, and updating/verifying there are no potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability) that will prevent implementation.

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 1.64

# Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

# **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$682,500

Floo	od Manag	geme	ent Evalı	uatio	on (FME) <sub>stui</sub>	DY Lower Colorado-Lavaca
Title N	lavidad River - Stem Br	anch Erosio	on Control Structur	e Project	ID# 101000196	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor	(name of entity) Jacks	on (County	)	(	Commitment x Yes	
Technica	l committee recomme	nd x Yes	No	RFPG reco	ommend Yes 🔀 No	REGION 10
Study T	Туре					
Eme	rgency preparedness	Flo	odplain modeling,	mapping a	and risk assessment	Feasibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Othe	er					
Probler	m Area				N	
City N/A	Ą	Cou	nty Jackson			
Watersh name	ed Navidad (s)					H
Tributary	(ies) Stem Branch				111	and a set of the set o
HUC#	12100102	Stream n	niles (est.) 0.00			Provide States and States
Drainage	e area: square miles, es	st 0.02	or acreage, est.	15		and the second s
Social vu (SVI score	Inerability index 0.51	rable; 1.0 inc	licates most vulnerab	le.)		
Other						

There is an existing erosion control structure that is failing. Loss of the structure would result in a threat to existing infrastructure and negative environmental impacts due to erosion. Existing risk factors are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of the potential risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating the project.

Population at risk 2

Structures at risk 1

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

0.00

### Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate replacing/repairing an existing erosion control structure. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis (if appropriate), verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis (if appropriate), and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability)

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

### Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways.

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$40,000

Floo	od Manag	eme	nt Evalı	Jatio	n (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title La	Salle Erosion Control	Structure P	roject		ID# 101000197	PLANNING GROUP	
Sponsor (I	name of entity) Jacksc	on (County)		(	Commitment x Yes No	I LAMMING ONCOT	
Technical	committee recommer	nd x Yes	No	RFPG reco	mmend Yes 🔀 No	REGION 10	
Study Ty	/pe						
Emerg	gency preparedness	Floc	dplain modeling,	mapping ar	nd risk assessment	easibility study x Preliminary project engineering	
Other							
Problem	1 Area				N		
City N/A		Coun	ty Jackson				
Watershed Arenosa Creek-Garcitas Creek name(s)						H	
Tributary(	ies) Unnamed Tributa	ıry					
HUC# 1	.2100402	Stream m	iles (est.) 0.00			La Salle	
Drainage a	Drainage area: square miles, est 0.44 or acreage, est. 279						
Social vulr (SVI score C	nerability index 0.51 0.0 indicates least vulnera	able; 1.0 indi	cates most vulnerab	le.)			
Other							

There is an existing erosion control structure that is failing. Loss of the structure would result in a threat to existing infrastructure and negative environmental impacts due to erosion. Existing risk factors are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of the potential risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating the project.

Population at risk 2

Structures at risk 2

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 28

0.09

# Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate replacing/repairing an existing erosion control structure. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis (if appropriate), verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis (if appropriate), and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability)

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

### Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways.

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$40,000

Flood Management Evalua	tion (FME) STUDY Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD					
Title Goat Trail Erosion Control Structure Project	ID# 101000198 PLANNING GROUP					
Sponsor (name of entity) Jackson (County)	Commitment x Yes No					
Technical committee recommend x Yes No RFPC	G recommend Yes X No					
Study Type						
Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapp	ping and risk assessment Feasibility study X Preliminary project engineering					
Other						
Problem Area	N					
City N/A County Jackson						
Watershed Lavaca name(s)						
Tributary(ies) Milby	The The dealers					
HUC# 12100101 Stream miles (est.) 0.00						
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.03 or acreage, est. 19	Change Rithand Sto					
Social vulnerability index 0.51 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)						
Other						

There is an existing erosion control structure that is failing. Loss of the structure would result in a threat to existing infrastructure and negative environmental impacts due to erosion. Existing risk factors are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of the potential risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating the project.

Population at risk 2

Structures at risk 1

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 1

0.00

### Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate replacing/repairing an existing erosion control structure. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis (if appropriate), verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis (if appropriate), and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability)

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

### Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways.

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$225,000

Flood Mana	gement Evaluat	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD					
Title County Road 106 Erosi	on Control Structure Project	ID# 101000199	PLANNING GROUP				
Sponsor (name of entity) Jacks	son (County)	Commitment x Yes No	I LAMMING OROOT				
Technical committee recomme	end x Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes 🎽 No	REGION 10				
Study Type							
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappi	ng and risk assessment F	easibility study X Preliminary project engineering				
Other							
Problem Area		N					
City N/A	County Jackson		20 <sup>10</sup>				
Watershed Leona Creek-Aren name(s)	osa Creek		County Roc				
Tributary(ies) Arenosa Creek		C AND					
HUC# 12100402	Stream miles (est.) 0.00						
Drainage area: square miles, e	Drainage area: square miles, est 0.06 or acreage, est. 40						
Social vulnerability index 0.51 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulne	erable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)						

There is an existing erosion control structure that is failing. Loss of the structure would result in a threat to existing infrastructure and negative environmental impacts due to erosion. Existing risk factors are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of the potential risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating the project.

Population at risk 2

Structures at risk 1

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 35

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.20

#### Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate replacing/repairing an existing erosion control structure. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis (if appropriate), verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis (if appropriate), and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability)

#### Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways.

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$75,000

Flood Management Eval	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD					
Title Lake Travis/Cross Street Area Buyout Project	ID# 101000200	PLANNING GROUP				
Sponsor (name of entity) Jonestown (Municipality)	Commitment x Yes No	I LAMMING OROOT				
Technical committee recommend 🗴 Yes 📃 No	RFPG recommend 🧧 Yes 🎽 No	REGION 10				
Study Type						
Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling	g, mapping and risk assessment	easibility study x Preliminary project engineering				
Other						
Problem Area	N					
City Jonestown County Travis						
Watershed Hurst Creek name(s)						
Tributary(ies) Big Sandy Creek						
HUC# 12090205 Stream miles (est.) 0.00						
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.04 or acreage, est. 26						
Social vulnerability index 0.15 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable)	rable.)	Hill Rd				
Other						

There is at least one flood prone property located within the 100-year floodplain of Lake Travis in the Cross Street Area that is subject to repetitive loss. The City would like to conduct an analysis to quantify the total number of structures in the 100-year floodplain that may be subject to repetitive loss.

Population at risk 27

Structures at risk 18

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 13

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

0.42

# Scope of Study

The study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14) to identify/verify eligible property owners and if the properties should be elevated or removed.

#### Related Goal(s)

5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 5.2 Increase the acreage of publicly protected open space to reduce future impacts of flooding.

#### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manager	nent Evaluat	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title Hays County Buyout Project		ID# 101000201	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Hays (Coun	ty)	Commitment x Yes No	I LAMMING OROOT
Technical committee recommend x	Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes 🔀 No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappi	ng and risk assessment	Feasibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Hays		Aust
Watershed Onion name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Unknown			
HUC# 12090205,12090206 Stre	am miles (est.) 0.00		
Drainage area: square miles, est 676	.04 or acreage, est. 432,	665	
Social vulnerability index 0.17 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1	.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	Sec. Cont	San Marcos
Other			

There are at least 38 flood prone properties that are within the 100-year floodplain that may be subject to repetitive loss.

Population at risk 100

Structures at risk 38

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 11,875

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 15.61

#### Scope of Study

The study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14) to identify/verify eligible property owners and if the properties should be elevated or removed.

#### Related Goal(s)

5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 5.2 Increase the acreage of publicly protected open space to reduce future impacts of flooding.

#### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$500,000

Flood Manag	gement Evalua	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title Dalton Lane Crossing Im	provements Project	ID# 101000202	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Austir	n (Municipality)	Commitment x Yes No	I LANNING ONOOI
Technical committee recommer	nd 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RFP	G recommend 📃 Yes 🔀 No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, map	ping and risk assessment Fea	sibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N 200	Montopolis
City Austin	County Travis		
Watershed Carson Creek name(s)			HI CONTRACTOR
Tributary(ies) Carson Creek and	d Tributary 4		
HUC# 12090205	Stream miles (est.) 0.50	71	Del Valle
Drainage area: square miles, es	t 2.29 or acreage, est. 1,4	468	
Social vulnerability index 0.15 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnera	able; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other			Austin-Bergstrom

The Carson Creek and Tributary 4 crossings at Dalton Lane near Hawkins Lane and Sherman Road are inundated by small, frequent storm events (less than 2year event) leading to unsafe conditions for motorists. Existing risk factors are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of the potential risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating the project.

Population at risk48Structures at risk31Critical facilities at risk0Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)73Roadway(s) impacted (miles)3.13

### Scope of Study

Update existing study to evaluate the replacement of two low water crossings with two 3-span 150-foot long bridges, channel improvements upstream and downstream, and associated land acquisition to improve public safety and reduce road closures during small, frequent storm events. Study will update existing hydrologic and hydraulic models (with Atlas 14 rainfall) as needed to refine preliminary design and provide additional information including verifying no adverse impacts, updating the cost estimate, providing a benefit-cost-analysis, and verifying there are no potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability) that will prevent implementation. Preliminary flood risk reduction benefits identified include satisfying roadway compliance with City of Austin drainage criteria, reduction in Atlas 14 100-yr flood depths by up to 1-foot, reduction in inundated area by up to 8 acres upstream of the roadway, and removal of two structures from Atlas 14 100-yr flood through acquisition.

#### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

# **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$1,950,000

Flood Manage	ment Evaluat	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title Highland Hills Crossing Impr	ovements Project	ID# 101000203	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Travis (Co	ounty)	Commitment x Yes No	I LAMMING OROOT
Technical committee recommend	x Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes 🔀 No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappi	ng and risk assessment 🛛 👘 F	easibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Travis		
Watershed Lake Austin name(s)			Her West Blvg
Tributary(ies) Dry Creek			
HUC# 12090205 Str	ream miles (est.) 0.25		CONTRACTOR AND
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.4	47 or acreage, est. 299		
Social vulnerability index 0.47 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable, Other	; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		

The Highland Hills crossing is inundated by small, frequent, storm events (less than 2-year event) leading to unsafe conditions for motorists who need to use this roadway for neighborhood ingress/egress. Existing risk factors are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of the potential risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating the project.

Population at risk 8	Structures at ris	k 3	Critical	facilities at risk 0	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 1		Roadway(s) impacted	(miles)	0.02	

#### Scope of Study

Update existing study to evaluate upgrading the hydraulic capacity of the crossing to reduce the frequency and depth of inundation and improve public safety. Study will update existing hydrologic and hydraulic models (with Atlas 14 rainfall) as needed to refine preliminary design and provide additional information needed to meet TWDB requirements for a flood mitigation project including verifying no adverse impacts, updating the cost estimate and providing a benefit-cost-analysis, and updating/verifying there are no potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability) that will prevent implementation.

#### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

#### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$150,000

Flood Manager	nent Evaluat	ion (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Shoal Creek - Nueces St Flood	Risk Reduction Project	ID# 101000204	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Austin (Mu	nicipality)	Commitment x Yes No	I LAMMING ONOOT
Technical committee recommend x	Yes No RFPG I	recommend Yes 🔀 No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness Other	Floodplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment Fo	easibility study x Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area		N	
City Austin	County Travis		Walnut F
Watershed Town Lake name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Shoal Creek			Abercromble
HUC# 12090205 Strea	am miles (est.) 0.00	360	
Drainage area: square miles, est 13.2	or acreage, est. 8,460	D D	
Social vulnerability index 0.15 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1	1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	Last Greek	
Other		LOST CIEEK	Austin

Shoal Creek has a history of flooding including the 1981 Memorial Day Flood that killed 13 people. More recently, the 2015 Memorial Day flood resulted in widespread flooding that impacted commercial and residential structures, and local street flooding. Residents have formally requested service from the City to address 25 locations of reported house flooding, 11 locations of reported yard flooding, and 11 locations of reported street flooding. Existing risk factors are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of the potential risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating the project.

Population at risk	20,710		Structures at ris	k 61	Critical	facilities at risk	0
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	52		Roadway(s) impact	ed (miles)	13.96	

#### Scope of Study

Update existing study to evaluate the construction of approximately 16,000 feet of upgraded storm drain pipe and numerous new storm drain inlets throughout the area, including a large tunnel which will extend along Nueces St from Martin Luther King Jr St to 4th St. The existing study includes hydrologic and hydraulic models (with Atlas 14 rainfall), verifying no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimate and verifying there are no potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability) that will prevent implementation. The study will be updated to include the required benefit-cost-analysis.

### **Related Goal(s)**

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways.

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Flo	od Manag	geme	nt Evalı	Jatic	on (FME) <sub>stui</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD			
Title	itle Waller Creek - Guadalupe St Flood Risk Reduction Project			oject	ID# 101000205	PLANNING GROUP			
Sponsor (name of entity) Austin (Municipality)				-	Commitment x Yes No				
Techni	cal committee recomme	nd x Yes	No	RFPG reco	ommend Yes 🔀 No	REGION 10			
Study Em Oti	r <b>Type</b> hergency preparedness her	Floo	dplain modeling,	mapping a	and risk assessment	Feasibility study x Preliminary project engineering			
Probl	em Area				N				
City N	I/A	Count	ty Travis						
Waters nam	shed Town Lake ne(s)					Adercromble			
Tributa	ary(ies) Waller Creek					2222			
HUC#	12090205	Stream mi	les (est.) 0.00						
Draina	ge area: square miles, es	st 2.71	or acreage, est.	1,733					
Social (SVI sco	vulnerability index 0.15 ore 0.0 indicates least vulner	rable; 1.0 indic	cates most vulnerab	ole.)		290			
Other									

When the area of interest was developed, it appears an existing creek was covered/diverted to a small storm drain. The area has been identified as high priority due to street, yard, and structural flooding including the 2015 Memorial Day and 2015 Halloween floods. The City has logged flooding complaints for 30 residences and 14 streets in the Hyde Park neighborhood. Analysis of the project drainage area indicates there are a significant number of structures that experience flooding that have not reported flood complaints. Existing risk factors are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of the potential risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating the project.

Population at risk 1,520		Structures at risk	207	Critical	facilities at risk	0
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acre	es) O		Roadway(s) impacted	(miles)	2.58	

#### Scope of Study

Update existing study to evaluate the construction of approximately 28,000 linear feet of subsurface stormwater drains east of Guadalupe Street and west of Avenue G, between 33rd and 46th streets. The project also includes three new surface-level detention ponds near the Baker Center and in Adams-Hemphill Park with green stormwater infrastructure for water quality treatment; stream restoration using natural channel design for Waller Creek downstream of detention pond; underground stormwater detention structures around the former Baker Center; improvements to the outfall structures at Central Park Pond and Triangle Pond just west of Guadalupe Street; and related utility relocations throughout. The existing study includes hydrologic and hydraulic models (with Atlas 14 rainfall), verifying no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimate and verifying there are no potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability) that will prevent implementation. The study will be updated to include the required benefit-cost-analysis.

#### Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways.

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Flood Management Evaluat	ion (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD			
Title Creek St at Barons Creek LWC Upgrade Project	ID# 101000206	PLANNING GROUP			
Sponsor (name of entity) Fredericksburg (Municipality)	Commitment x Yes No	I LANNING OROOT			
Technical committee recommend X Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes 🔀 No	REGION 10			
Study Type					
Emergency preparedness 🛛 🗧 Floodplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment 🛛 📃 Fe	asibility study X Preliminary project engineering			
Other					
Problem Area	N				
City Fredericksburg County Gillespie		290			
Watershed Barons Creek name(s)		M CROWN CROW			
Tributary(ies) Barons Creek		Barons of St			
HUC# 12090206 Stream miles (est.) 0.00	UIRON ON C	Frederickst			
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.01 or acreage, est. 8	whitney of St	Carlo I and a start of the			
Social vulnerability index 0.1		STATES AND			
(SVI score U.U indicates least vulnerable; 1.U indicates most vulnerable.) Other		2 <sup>410</sup> E Cro <sup>20</sup> A			
		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S			

Creek Street overtops by approximately 11 feet during the 100-year event leading to unsafe conditions for motorists and potential local flood risk.

Population at risk 5

Structures at risk 4

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.10

Critical facilities at risk 0

#### Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate replacing/upgrading the existing crossing repairing an existing road crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability)

#### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$50,000

Flood Management Evaluation	ation (FME) STUDY Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Highway St Improvements Project	ID# 101000207 PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Fredericksburg (Municipality)	Commitment x Yes No
Technical committee recommend 🗴 Yes 📃 No RF	PG recommend Yes X No
Study Type	
Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, ma Other	pping and risk assessment Feasibility study x Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area	N SS SS SD
City Fredericksburg County Gillespie	
Watershed Muesebach Creek - Pedernales Rivet name(s)	
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary	B Highway St
HUC#         12090206         Stream miles (est.)         0.00	
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.08 or acreage, est.	4
Social vulnerability index 0.1 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable., Other	Friendship Ln

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 9,535.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

0.53

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

### Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate replacing/upgrading the existing crossing repairing an existing road crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability)

#### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$600,000