	FME Batch 2C 9-Jun-							
	Action Number	Action Name	County	Batch Page Number	TC Rec	Tech Committee Rec	RFPG Rec	RFPG Rec
				0	(Y/N)	Date	(Y/N)	Date
	101000067	Various Streets - Install Flood Early Warning System	Real and others	1	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000078	Hooten Holler in Richland Springs	San Saba	2	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000180	Countywide Floodplain Map Update	Sutton and others	3	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000082	Citywide Drainage Study	Travis	4	Yes	5/25/2022		
2C	101000084	Bee Creek Drainage Improvements	Travis	5	Yes	5/25/2022		
t;	101000086	Citywide Drainage Study	Travis	6	Yes	5/25/2022		
Bat	101000163	Jones Brothers Park Flooding	Travis, Williamson	7	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000090	Various Streets - Upgrade Existing Roadway Crossings	Victoria	8	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000100	Pecan Street	Wharton	9	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000101	Town & Country Drive	Wharton	10	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000162	Countywide Floodplain Map Update	Wharton, Fort Bend	11	Yes	5/25/2022		

Flood Manager	nent Evaluat	tion (FME) STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Various Streets - Install Flood	Early Warning System	ID# 101000067	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Kerr (Count	y)	Commitment X Yes No	I LANNING ONOOI
Technical committee recommend X	Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type X Emergency preparedness Other	Floodplain modeling, mappi	ng and risk assessment	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area		N	0
City N/A	County Kerr	IN A STATE OF A STATE	
Watershed Multiple Watersheds name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary			Kerrville
HUC# 12090204,12090206 Strea	am miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est 1,10	3.03 or acreage, est. 705,	941	
Social vulnerability index 0.36 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1	.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Install Flood Early Warning Sys	tem		

The County has identified multiple roadway/crossing that overtop and where structural improvements are not feasible. Proposed study will identify priority crossings to receive flood warning systems or other safety improvements.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 2.14

Scope of Study

Evaluate the type of flood early warnings system (flashers, barricades, signage) and communication systems requirements for the installation and long-term maintenance of the system. Include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (if needed) including depth, duration and frequency of flooding, daily traffic counts, and length of detour (minutes),

Related Goal(s)

2.1 Increase the number of communities with warning and emergency response capabilities, or which participate in regional flood warning systems (e.g., City of Austin Flood Early Warning System) that can detect flood threats in real time and provide timely warning of impending flood danger. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$50,000

Flo	Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY						Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title	Hooten Holler in Richlan	d Springs			ID# 1010	00078	PLANNING GROUP	
Sponsor	r (name of entity) San Sa	ba (County	y)	(Commitment	🗙 Yes 📃 No		
Technic	al committee recommen	id 🗙 Yes	No	RFPG reco	ommend Y	íes No	REGION 10	
Study	Туре							
Eme	ergency preparedness	Floc	odplain modeling, r	mapping a	nd risk assessr	ment X	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering	
Oth	er							
Proble	em Area				N	2997		
City N/	Ά	Coun	ty San Saba				45	
Watershed Lower Richland Springs Creek name(s)					the	Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here		
Tributar	ry(ies) Richland Springs	Creek				and the	A CONTRACTOR OF A	
HUC#	12090109,12090106	Stream m	iles (est.) TBD		S IS	the second	a same gue	
Drainage area: square miles, est 5.44 or acreage, est. 3,479				3,479	Sec.		Richland	
Social vulnerability index 0.51 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)					A A ASA	Opinigs		
Other \	Natershed Study					190		

The Sponsor has indicated the existing stormwater infrastructure in the study area and numerous houses are located in the 100-year floodplain. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 37

Structures at risk 43

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 695

lures at risk 43

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 1.87

Scope of Study

The flood study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to identify priority flood risk areas, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$100,000

Flood Managen	nent Evaluat	tion (FME) STUDY	, Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Countywide Floodplain Map U	pdate	ID# 101000180	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Menard (Co	unty)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	I LANNING OROOT
Technical committee recommend X	Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type Emergency preparedness X Other	Floodplain modeling, mapp	ing and risk assessment	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Menard		
Watershed Multiple Watersheds name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary			- the many and the
HUC# 12090109,12090110 Strea	m miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est 898.4	47 or acreage, est. 575	,019	
Social vulnerability index 0.36 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.	0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Watershed Study			and stress in the state

The existing floodplain maps are outdated and do not reflect the current flood risk.

Population at risk 1,284

Structures at risk 896

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 93,035

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 62.48

Scope of Study

The flood study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) and will develop new floodplain maps that reflect current flood risk.

Related Goal(s)

3.1 Increase the number of entities that have updated watershed models and floodplain maps to reflect current conditions, including as applicable Atlas 14 (Volume 11) revised rainfall data. 3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 3.3 Increase the number of entities that have digital flood insurance rate maps (DFIRMs) that reflect current conditions.

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$250,000

Flood Management Evaluation (FME) STUDY						v Lowe	r Colorad	lo-Lavaca	
Title City	ywide Drainage Study				ID# 1010	000082		NNING	GROUP
Sponsor (n	name of entity) Lago Vis	ta (Munic	cipality)		Commitment	X Yes No	0		UNUUI
Technical o	committee recommend	X Yes	No	RFPG rec	commend	Yes No		REGION	10
Study Ty	pe								
Emerg	ency preparedness	Floo	dplain modeling, r	mapping a	and risk assess	sment 🔀	Feasibility study	Prelimir	nary project engineering
Other									
Problem	Area				N		1431	A MARKED	ETR O
City Lago	Vista	Count	y Travis					MAN -	Jon
Watershed name(s	g Bee Creek - Lake Travi)	s, Hurst C	reek - Lake Travis				1 P	12	The second se
Tributary(i	es) Unnamed Tributary					4 March	La	go Vista	
HUC# 12	2090205 S	tream mi	les (est.) TBD			Mar /		S	44
Drainage a	area: square miles, est 1	5.51	or acreage, est.	9,926		A L		-	ALL CONT
Social vuln (SVI score 0.	nerability index <mark>0.15</mark> .0 indicates least vulnerabl	e; 1.0 india	cates most vulnerab	le.)	1			н	udson Bend
Other Wa	tershed Study					1232	1		a (m)

The City has multiple local drainage problems and portions of the City are at risk of flooding. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 813

Structures at risk 542

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 658

tructures at risk 542

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 10.48

Scope of Study

The Citywide study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to identify priority flood risk areas, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$250,000

Flood Manageme	e <mark>nt Evalua</mark> t	ion (FME) _{STUDY}	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Bee Creek Drainage Improvement	ts	ID# 101000084	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) West Lake Hills	(Municipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	
Technical committee recommend X Yes	No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness Flo	oodplain modeling, mappi	ng and risk assessment 🛛 🗙 F	easibility study 🛛 📄 Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City West Lake Hills Cou	unty Travis		
Watershed Lake Austin - Town Lake name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Little Bee Creek			
HUC# 12090205 Stream	miles (est.) 1.25		West Lake Hills
Drainage area: square miles, est 1.06	or acreage, est. 677	Var Mary	
Social vulnerability index 0.15 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 in	dicates most vulnerable.)	860	
Other Channel Improvements			And the second second

The existing channel and road crossings are undersized resulting in localized erosion as well as flood risk to houses along Yaupon Valley Road and Laurel Valley Road. The area has experienced excessive flow depth and velocity, has structures at risk, historical flood damages, and channel erosion. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 25

Structures at risk 14

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 18

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

0.47

Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, rightof-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manage	ement Evaluat	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title Citywide Drainage Study		ID# 101000086	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) San Lear	nna (Municipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	
Technical committee recommend	X Yes No RFPG I	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment 🛛 🗙 Fe	asibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City San Leanna	County Travis		River Oaks
Watershed Slaughter Creek - Onic name(s)	אנ Creek		
Tributary(ies) Slaughter Creek		The The	
HUC# 12090205 S	tream miles (est.) TBD		San Leanna
Drainage area: square miles, est 0	0.43 or acreage, est. 277	ca 🛛 🖉	
Social vulnerability index 0.15 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable	e; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Watershed Study		Twi	

The City has multiple local drainage problems and portions of the City are at risk of flooding. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 7

Structures at risk 8

Critical facilities at risk 0

0.10

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 5

Scope of Study

The Citywide study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to identify priority flood risk areas, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Related Goal(s)

3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost

Flood Management Eva	aluation (FME) STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca
Title Jones Brothers Park Flooding	ID# 101000163	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Jonestown (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	I LANNING OROOT
Technical committee recommend 🗙 Yes 📃 No	RFPG recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type		
Emergency preparedness Floodplain model	ling, mapping and risk assessment X f	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other		
Problem Area	N	
City Jonestown County Travis		
Watershed Big Sandy Creek name(s)	Balcones I Wildlife F	Nat'l Ref
Tributary(ies) Big Sandy Creek		
HUC# 12090205,12070205 Stream miles (est.) TBE		
Drainage area: square miles, est 53.07 or acreage,	est. 33,962	
Social vulnerability index 0.15 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vuln	nerable.)	Cedar P
Other Drainage System Improvements		

The Sponsor has indicated the existing stormwater infrastructure in the study area is undersized and the area is at risk during large storm events. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles. Sponsor has indicated targeted buyouts are also a potential outcome.

Population at risk 290

Structures at risk 297

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 1,595

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 3.91

Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost

Flo	od Manage	ement Eval	uatic	on (FME) s	STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca	3
Title 🔉	Jarious Streets - Upgrade	Existing Roadway Crossing	zs	ID# 10100090		PLANNING GROUP	
Sponsor	[.] (name of entity) Victoria	(Municipality)	(Commitment 🗙 Yes	No		
Technica	al committee recommend	X Yes No	RFPG recc	ommend Yes	No	REGION 10	
Study 7	Туре						
Eme	ergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling	, mapping a	nd risk assessment	X Feasi	ibility study Preliminary project engin	eering
Othe	er						
Proble	m Area			N			1
City Vic	ctoria	County Victoria					
Watersh name	ied Unnamed Watershed e(s)				6		H
Tributar	y(ies) Unnamed Tributary	/			E and		Set.
HUC#	12100204,12100402 S	Stream miles (est.) TBD					
Drainage area: square miles, est 44.61 or acreage, est. 28,548						State State State	
Social vulnerability index 0.62 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)							
Other R	Roadway/Crossing Improve	ements					

The Sponsor has indicated there are multiple low water crossings that are undersized and overtop. Proposed improvements include upsizing the culverts. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 1.25

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Flood Manag	ement Evalua	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title Pecan Street		ID# 101000100	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) El Cam	npo (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	I LAMMING ONOOI
Technical committee recommen	ıd 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RF	PG recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, ma	pping and risk assessment X	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City El Campo	County Wharton		
Watershed Tres Palacios River - name(s)	Frontal Tres Palacios Bay		
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributa	ry	ado	Pecan St
HUC# 12100401	Stream miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est	: 0.00 or acreage, est. 3		
Social vulnerability index 0.81 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnera	able; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	and the second	
Other Drainage System Improve	ements		

The Sponsor has indicated the existing stormwater infrastructure in the study area is undersized and the area is at risk of street and local flooding. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 7

Structures at risk 5

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 1.10

Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manag	gement Evaluat	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title Town & Country Drive		ID# 101000101	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) El Ca	mpo (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recomme	end 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RFPG i	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment X F	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City El Campo	County Wharton		
Watershed Tres Palacios River name(s)	- Frontal Tres Palacios Bay		
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tribut	ary	200	united and
HUC# 12100401	Stream miles (est.) TBD	E	A CASE A CASE AND A CA
Drainage area: square miles, e	st 0.00 or acreage, est. 2	11	S Town
Social vulnerability index 0.81 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulne	rable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Drainage System Impro	vements	Con State	Carry Contraction

The Sponsor has indicated the existing stormwater infrastructure in the study area is undersized and the area is at risk of street and local flooding. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 32

Structures at risk 25

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Road

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.50

Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manag	ement Evalua	ation (FME) _{study}	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Citywide Floodplain Map	Update	ID# 101000162	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) East Be	ernard (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommend	d 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RF	PG recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness Other	X Floodplain modeling, ma	pping and risk assessment	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area		N	
City East Bernard	County Wharton		
Watershed Boone Branch - San name(s)	Bernard River		First Descent
Tributary(ies) Britt Branch, San E	Bernard River		East Bernard
HUC# 12090401	Stream miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est	3.78 or acreage, est. 2	2,419	THE PARTY STATE
Social vulnerability index 0.81 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnera	ble; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		60
Other Watershed Study		P 10 30	

The existing floodplain maps are outdated and do not reflect current flood risk.

Population at risk 203

Structures at risk 158

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 253

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 4.14

Scope of Study

The Citywide flood study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) and will develop new floodplain maps that reflect current flood risk.

Related Goal(s)

3.1 Increase the number of entities that have updated watershed models and floodplain maps to reflect current conditions, including as applicable Atlas 14 (Volume 11) revised rainfall data. 3.3 Increase the number of entities that have digital flood insurance rate maps (DFIRMs) that reflect current conditions.

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$250,000