F	VIE Batch 2B							9-Jun-22
4	Action Number	Action Name	County	Batch Page Number	TC Rec	Tech Committee Rec	RFPG Rec	RFPG Rec
				0	(Y/N)	Date	(Y/N)	Date
	101000048	Trailmoor near Llano Hwy	Gillespie	1	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000050	Drainage Channel near EMS Building	Gillespie	2	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000051	Bob White Trail	Gillespie	3	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000053	N Edison Low Water Crossing	Gillespie	4	Yes	5/25/2022		
Batch 2B-1	101000054	Schubert Low Water Crossing	Gillespie	5	Yes	5/25/2022		
4	101000055	200 Block N Orange	Gillespie	6	Yes	5/25/2022		
Batc	101000056	Crockett Street South of Travis	Gillespie	7	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000057	Cross Mountain West	Gillespie	8	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000058	N Milam at West Travis	Gillespie	9	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000122	Carriage Hills	Gillespie	10	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000123	Post Oak Subdivision	Gillespie	11	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000059	Repair of Little Barton Creek Dam	Hays	12	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000060	Floodplain/Floodway Audit	Hays	13	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000158	Citywide Storm Drain Infrastructure Modeling	Travis	14	Yes	5/25/2022		
5	101000063	Stormwater Diversion Project	Jackson	15	Yes	5/25/2022		
28	101000066	County Road 480	Jackson	16	Yes	5/25/2022		
Batch 2B-2	101000129	Palmetto Bend Spillway	Jackson	17	Yes	5/25/2022		
Ba	101000092	Citywide Drainage Study	Victoria	18	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000093	Various Streets - Upgrade Existing Roadway Crossings	Victoria	19	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000118	Sandy Oaks Subdivision	Colorado	20	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000106	Various Streets - Upgrade Low Water Crossings	Blanco	21	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000179	Various Streets - Install Floow Early Warning System	Kendall	22	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000177	Countywide Floodplain Map Update	Gillespie	23	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000069	Llano River Erosion	Kimble	24	Yes	5/25/2022		
φ	101000183	South Polk Street Study	Lee	25	Yes	5/25/2022		
2B-3	101000070	Llano River Channel Maintenance/Improvements	Llano	26	Yes	5/25/2022		
Batch	101000073	Comanche Rancherias Subdivision	Llano	27	Yes	5/25/2022		
Ba	101000071	Drainage Ditch Maintenance/Improvements	Llano	28	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000075	Airport Drainage Improvements	Matagorda	29	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000077	Update Flood Insurance Study & Flood Insurance Rate Maps	Matagorda	30	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000076	Tres Palacios River	Matagorda	31	Yes	5/25/2022		

Flood Management Evaluat	ion (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Repair of Little Barton Creek Dam	ID# 101000059	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Dripping Springs (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommend X Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type		
Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment $X$ Fe	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other		
Problem Area	N	
City Dripping Springs County Hays		
Watershed Headwaters Barton Creek name(s)		
Tributary(ies) Little Barton Creek	The State State	12
HUC# 12090205 Stream miles (est.) 0.50	the second	
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.00 or acreage, est. 2		
Social vulnerability index 0.17 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Dam Improvements		

Dripping Springs Park Dam is a small earthen embankment dam with earthen spillway upstream of HWY 12. The dam does not appear to be regulated by the TCEQ due to size and volume and the existing flood risk is not well defined. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles. The Sponsor has identified the need to work with FEMA to evaluate and remediate the dam.

Population at risk 30

Structures at risk 10

Critical facilities at risk 0 (miles) 0.00

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 2

# Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

### Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Flood Mana	igement Evalua	tion (FME) STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Floodplain/Floodway	Audit	ID# 101000060	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Ha	ys (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recomm	nend 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RFPC	G recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	s X Floodplain modeling, mapp	oing and risk assessment 🛛 🖉 F	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Hays	County Hays		
Watershed Bear Creek name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Trib	utary		Hays 'or rryl br
HUC# 12090205	Stream miles (est.) TBD	ALL AN ALL AND	le l
Drainage area: square miles,	est 0.21 or acreage, est. 135	5	Ranger Dr
Social vulnerability index 0.1 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vuln	.7 nerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	The second second	Ranger Dr Sou Sou
Other Watershed Study			Call Call

The tributary to Bear Creek runs through the southern and northern limits of the City and there are multiple houses adjacent to the 100-year floodplain that may be at risk of flooding. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 1

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 4

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.04

### Scope of Study

The flood study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) and may develop new floodplain maps that reflect current flood risk.

### **Related Goal(s)**

3.1 Increase the number of entities that have updated watershed models and floodplain maps to reflect current conditions, including as applicable Atlas 14 (Volume 11) revised rainfall data. 3.3 Increase the number of entities that have digital flood insurance rate maps (DFIRMs) that reflect current conditions.

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$50,000

Flood Manager	ment Evaluat	ion (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Citywide Storm Drain Infrastr	ucture Modeling	ID# 101000158	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Austin (Mu	unicipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	
Technical committee recommend	Yes No RFPG r	ecommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappin	g and risk assessment	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	Cedar Park Round Rock
City Austin	County Travis		
Watershed Multiple Watersheds name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary			
HUC# 12090205,12070205 Stre	eam miles (est.) TBD		Austin
Drainage area: square miles, est 279	0.33 or acreage, est. 178,7	71	
Social vulnerability index 0.15 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable;	1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	and the second	Gas of VS
Other Drainage System Improvemen	nts		

1D and 2D models are needed for the entire City to evaluate and design upgrades to the existing storm drain systems. The study will update existing 1D models based on new drainage criteria and data, perform QA/QC on previously completed storm drain models, develop new 1D storm drain models for previously unstudied systems, develop 2D system models for unstudied watersheds, and update 2D system models for previously completed 2D model studies.

Population at risk 62,070

Structures at risk 5,696

Potential funding source(s) TBD

Critical facilities at risk 0 111.76

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 7,306

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

### Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, rightof-way needs, and constructability).

### Related Goal(s)

3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Flood Manage	ment Evaluat	ion (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Stormwater Diversion Project	ct	ID# 101000063	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Edna (Mu	nicipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	
Technical committee recommend	Yes No RFPG I	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment $X$ Fe	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Edna	County Jackson	822	
Watershed Post Oak Branch - Dry C name(s)	ireek		
Tributary(ies) Dry Creek			Edna 📿
HUC# 12100101,12100102 Str	ream miles (est.) TBD	2	
Drainage area: square miles, est 4.0	06 or acreage, est. 2,601	1	
Social vulnerability index 0.51 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable;	; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Drainage System Improveme	ents	CHE AL	

The Sponsor has indicated the existing stormwater infrastructure in the study area is undersized and the area is at risk of street flooding, property flooding, and potential structural flooding. The existing flood risk is not well defined, and the risk indicators are based on the study area. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles. Sponsor has indicated targeted buyouts area also a potential outcome.

Population at risk 2,503

Structures at risk 1,223

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 137

\_\_\_\_\_

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 26.26

### Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

### Related Goal(s)

5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$200,000

Potential funding source(s) TBD

Flood Manage	ement Evaluat	tion (FME) STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title County Road 480		ID# 101000066	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Jackson	n (County)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommend	d 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappi	ng and risk assocrant	-easibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Jackson		
Watershed Matagorda Bay, East name(s) Bay	: Carancahau Creek - Frontal Carano	cahua	County Road 480
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributar	γ		
HUC# 12100401	Stream miles (est.) TBD		480
Drainage area: square miles, est	0.06 or acreage, est. 41		
Social vulnerability index 0.51 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulneral	ble; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		Real Black Robert T
Other Roadway/Crossing Improv	vements	11 11 11	

CR480 runs parallel to Matagorda Bay and is threatened by erosion. The road serves as one of the primary means of ingress/egress to several residential areas in southern Jackson County. The proposed improvements include construction of a wall to protect and strengthen the roadway. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 36. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

li uctures at risk U

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.61

### Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Flood Manag	gement Evaluat	tion (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Palmetto Bend Spillway	<i>i</i>	ID# 101000129	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Jacks	son (County)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recomme	end X Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type Emergency preparedness Other	Floodplain modeling, mappi	ng and risk assessment Fe	asibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Jackson		
Watershed Chicolete Creek - N name(s)	Javidad River		HI 3131
Tributary(ies) Navidad River		7	
HUC# 12100102	Stream miles (est.) 0.00	-C 102	
Drainage area: square miles, e	st 0.12 or acreage, est. 79		all all a light
Social vulnerability index 0.51 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulne	rable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Dam Improvements			NawAddad

Lake Texana is a large earthen embankment dam with a multiple-gate concreate spillway that is traversed by FM 3131. The dam has limited ability to quickly deploy/install stop-logs in front of the gates in an emergency and has identified the need to develop an emergency stop log deployment system. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 150

Structures at risk 50

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 13

Critical facilities at risk 0

0.10

# Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to determine how stop log installation could impact dam operations, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

# Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Flood Manage	ment Evaluat	tion (FME) STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Citywide Drainage Study		ID# 101000092	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Victoria (I	vlunicipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommend	Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappi	ng and risk assessment X	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Victoria	County Victoria		
Watershed Multiple Watersheds name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary			Victoria
HUC# 12100204,12100402 Str	eam miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est 88	5.81 or acreage, est. 566,	920	
Social vulnerability index 0.62 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable,	1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Watershed Study		10 -	

The sponsor has indicated the existing stormwater infrastructure in the study area is undersized and the area is at risk of street flooding, property flooding, and potential structural flooding. The existing flood risk is not well defined, and the risk indicators are based on the study area. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 3,238

Structures at risk 776

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 37,406

Critical facilities at risk 0 d (miles) 51.50

### Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

### **Related Goal(s)**

3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Potential funding source(s) TBD

Flood Manage	ement Evaluation	on (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Various Streets - Upgrade E	Existing Roadway Crossings and	ID# 101000093	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Victoria	(County)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	
Technical committee recommend	X Yes No RFPG re-	commend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mapping	and risk assessment X F	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Victoria		
Watershed Multiple Watersheds name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary			Victoria
HUC# 12100204,12100402 St	tream miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est 8	85.81 or acreage, est. 566,92	0	
Social vulnerability index 0.62 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable	e; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Roadway/Crossing Improve	ments		

The Sponsor has indicated there are multiple low water crossings that are undersized and overtop. They have also identified that a number of bridges do not have sufficient hydraulic capacity and should be raised above the base flood elevation. Proposed improvements include upsizing the culverts and elevating bridges. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

.

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 51.50

### Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossings and bridges. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Flood Man	lageme	nt Evalua	ation	(FME) STU			Colorado-La	
Title Sandy Oaks Subdiv	vision		I	D# 101000118			INING GR	
Sponsor (name of entity) Colorado (County)			Com	mitment X Yes	No			
Technical committee reco	mmend 🗙 Yes	No RF	PG recomm	end Yes No			REGION 10	
Study Type								
Emergency preparedr	iess Floo	dplain modeling, ma	apping and ri	isk assessment	X Feasibili	ity study	Preliminary proje	ect engineering
Other								
Problem Area				N	123			52 0
City N/A	Count	ty Colorado			AN TO			
Watershed Multiple Wate name(s)	ersheds							H
Tributary(ies) Unnamed T	ributary						a contraction	Ros
HUC# 12090302,12090	401 Stream mi	iles (est.) TBD		1			Ser and	Ros
Drainage area: square mil	es, est 970.58	or acreage, est. 6	521,174				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	LA NE
Social vulnerability index (SVI score 0.0 indicates least		cates most vulnerable.)	)				A COMPANY S	
Other Watershed Study					1998 (Fr. 197			

The subdivision has multiple local drainage problems and portions of the subdivision are at risk of flooding. The existing flood risk is not well defined, and the risk indicators are based on the study area. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 4,259

Structures at risk 2,103

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 105,662

Critical facilities at risk 0

125.76

### Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to identify priority flood risk areas, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

### Related Goal(s)

3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$100,000

Potential funding source(s) TBD

Flood Managen	nent Evaluatic	on (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Various Locations - Upgrade Lo	w Water Crossings	ID# 101000106	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Blanco (Cou	nty)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	I LANNING OROOT
Technical committee recommend X	Yes No RFPG reco	ommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mapping a	and risk assessment $X$ F	easibility study 🛛 📄 Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Blanco		
Watershed Multiple Watersheds name(s)		Fredericksburg	
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary			
HUC# 12090201,12090205 Strea	im miles (est.) TBD	Sec. Sec.	
Drainage area: square miles, est 710.9	98 or acreage, est. 455,029		
Social vulnerability index 0.07 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.	0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Roadway/Crossing Improveme	nts	F. S. S. P. A. S.	San Marago

The Sponsor has indicated there are multiple low water crossings throughout the County that are undersized and overtop. Proposed improvements include upsizing the culverts. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 15.31

### Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossings. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).