FME Batch 2A							9-Jui
Action Number	Action Name	County	Page Number	TC Rec	Tech Committee Rec	RFPG Rec	RFPG Rec
10100001		Destroy		(Y/N)	Date	(Y/N)	Date
101000001	Drainage System Improvements	Bastrop	1	Yes	5/25/2022		
10100004	Gotier Trace Low Water Crossings	Bastrop	2	Yes	5/25/2022		
10100005	Lakeview Drive & Tuck Street	Bastrop	3	Yes	5/25/2022		
10100008	Clear Springs Lake Dam	Bastrop	4	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000023 101000027 101000028 101000102	Gills Branch	Bastrop	5	Yes	5/25/2022		
10100027	FM 812 at Little Alum Creek	Bastrop	6	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000028	FM 812 at Alum Creek South	Bastrop	7	Yes	5/25/2022		
	Piney Creek Benching	Bastrop	8	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000103	Design System Improvements - JC Madison Addition	Bastrop	9	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000104	Citywide Drainage System Improvements	Bastrop	10	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000125	Alum Creek - Tributary 8, Bowie Drive	Bastrop	11	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000108	Develop New/Updated Floodplain Maps	Blanco	12	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000113	Burnet County Flood Early Warning System	Burnet	13	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000109	CR 332 Drainage Improvements	Brazoria	14	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000110	Various Culverts Along Stevenson Slough	Brazoria	15	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000136	Highway 36	Brazoria	16	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000121	Various Streets - Install Flood Early Warning Systems	Fort Bend	17	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000029	Magnolia St	Brown	18	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000111 101000137	Adopt Flood Insurance Rate Maps	Brown	19	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000137	CR257 at Pecan Bayou (Tenmile Crossing)	Brown	20	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000160	Delaware Creek Flood Study	Brown	21	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000032	Mission Hills Street	Burnet	22	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000114	Shade Grove Flood Study	Burnet	23	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000116	Whitman Branch Bypass; Oak Ridge Drive Creek	Burnet	24	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000159	Watewater Treatment Plant Flood Study	Burnet	25	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000161	VFW Flood Study	Burnet	26	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000171	Citywide Floodplain Remapping	Burnet	27	Yes	5/25/2022		
	Lum Rd. Hilltop Rd. FM 2919 N	Fort Bend	28	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000035	Drainage Improvements to Crawford Outlet Right-of-Way	Fort Bend	29	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000037	Gene and Church Streets	Fort Bend	30	Yes	5/25/2022	1	
101000034 101000035 101000037 101000038	800 Block W San Antonio	Gillespie	31	Yes	5/25/2022	1	
101000039	South End of Acorn Street	Gillespie	32	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000042	Bowie & Peach Street	Gillespie	33	Yes	5/25/2022		
101000042	112 W Park	Gillespie	33	Yes	5/25/2022		

Flo	od Manag	geme	nt Evalu	uati	on (FME) _{str}	UDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title	Wastewater Treatment	Plant Flood	Study		ID# 101000159		PLANNING GROUP
Sponso	or (name of entity) Burn	et (Municip	ality)		Commitment X Yes	No	
Technic	cal committee recomme	end 🗙 Yes	No	RFPG re	ecommend Yes No	C	REGION 10
Study	Туре						
Em	ergency preparedness	Floo	odplain modeling,	mapping	g and risk assessment	X Fea	sibility study Preliminary project engineering
Otł	ner						
Proble	em Area				N		
City B	urnet	Cour	ity Burnet				
Waters nam	hed Headwaters Hamil le(s)	ton Creek					S League
Tributa	ry(ies) Hamilton Creek						
HUC#	12090205	Stream m	iles (est.) TBD				
Draina	ge area: square miles, e	st 0.06	or acreage, est.	37			
	ulnerability index 0.19 <i>re 0.0 indicates least vulne</i>	rable; 1.0 ind	icates most vulnerab	le.)			
Other	Watershed Study						

The plant is located within, and may be impacted by, the 100-year floodplain of Hamilton Creek and/or Headwaters of Hamilton Creek. The area has existing local drainage problems and has experienced excessive flow depth and velocity. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 3

Structures at risk 3

Critical facilities at risk 1 0.15

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 12

Scope of Study

The flood study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to identify priority flood risk areas, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Related Goal(s)

3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$150,000

Flood Manag	ement Evaluat	ion (FME) _{STUDY}	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title VFW Flood Study		ID# 101000161	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Burne	t (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommer	nd 🗙 Yes 👘 No 👘 RFPG i	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type Emergency preparedness Other	Floodplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment X	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area		N	
City Burnet	County Burnet		
Watershed Headwaters Hamilto name(s)	on Creek		
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributa	ry	and a second sec	
HUC# 12090205	Stream miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est	0.00 or acreage, est. 1		
Social vulnerability index 0.19 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnera	able; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	-	
Other Watershed Study			

The area has local drainage problems and is at risk of flooding. The building is located adjacent to the 100-year floodplain and has experienced flooding. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 1

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.00

Scope of Study

The flood study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to identify priority flood risk areas, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

3.2 Increase the number of entities that have evaluated priority flood risk areas and flood risk reduction measures (e.g., alternatives analysis and preliminary engineering). 5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manageme	nt Evaluat	tion (FME) STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Citywide Floodplain Remapping		ID# 101000171	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Marble Falls (Mu	nicipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommend $ {\sf X}$ Yes	No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness X Floo	dplain modeling, mapp	ing and risk assessment	Feasibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Marble Falls Count	ty Burnet		
Watershed Lake Marble Falls, Flatrock Cree name(s)	ek - Lake Travis	hoe Bay	
Tributary(ies) Little Flatrock Creek, Flatrock	< Creek		
HUC# 12090205 Stream mi	iles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est 7.13	or acreage, est. 4,56	55	281
Social vulnerability index 0.19 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indic	cates most vulnerable.)		
Other Watershed Study			

The existing floodplain maps are outdated and do not reflect current flood risk.

Population at risk 322

Structures at risk 158

Critical facilities at risk 0 (miles) 2.29

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 329

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) and will develop new floodplain maps that reflect current flood risk.

Related Goal(s)

3.1 Increase the number of entities that have updated watershed models and floodplain maps to reflect current conditions, including as applicable Atlas 14 (Volume 11) revised rainfall data. 3.3 Increase the number of entities that have digital flood insurance rate maps (DFIRMs) that reflect current conditions.

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$250,000

Flood Manag	ement Evaluat	ion (FME) _{STUDY}	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Lum Rd, Hilltop Rd, FM 2	919 N	ID# 101000034	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Kendle	eton (Municipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	
Technical committee recommend	d 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RFPG r	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappin	ng and risk assessment 🛛 🗙 Fo	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Kendleton	County Fort Bend		
Watershed Boone Branch - San name(s)	Bernard River		
Tributary(ies) Brooks Branch		ALL A	
HUC# 12090401	Stream miles (est.) TBD		Manuffecture
Drainage area: square miles, est	1.41 or acreage, est. 905		Kendleton
Social vulnerability index 0.1 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulneral	ıble; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	There is	
Other Roadway/Crossing Improv	vements	TRA	

The existing crossings are undersized and overtop. The proposed improvements include upsizing the existing crossings. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood risk and assess potential future projects.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Dood

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.85

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing low water crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manag	geme	nt Evalı	lation	ו (FME) _{st}		Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Drainage Improvement	s to Crawfor	rd Outlet Right-of-\	Nay	ID# 101000035		PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Kend	lleton (Muni	icipality)	Cor	mmitment 🗙 Yes	No	
Technical committee recomme	end 🗙 Yes	No	RFPG recomr	mend Yes No	0	REGION 10
Study Type						
Emergency preparedness	Floo	odplain modeling, r	mapping and	risk assessment	X Feasibil	lity study Preliminary project engineering
Other						
Problem Area				N		
City Kendleton	Cour	nty Fort Bend				
Watershed Boone Branch - Sa name(s)	n Bernard R	iver				
Tributary(ies) Brooks Branch					A C	
HUC# 12090401	Stream m	iles (est.) TBD			No and	Manathatan
Drainage area: square miles, e	st 1.41	or acreage, est.	905			Kendleton
Social vulnerability index 0.1 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulne	rable; 1.0 ind	icates most vulnerab	le.)	The		SAL STOL
Other Drainage System Impro	vements			THE	1	1991 AV

The Sponsor has indicated the existing outlet/right-of-way stormwater infrastructure is undersized and the area is at risk of localized flooding. The existing flood risk is not well defined, and the risk indicators are based on the study area. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 12

Structures at risk 11

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 69

Dood

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.85

Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$50,000

Flood Management Evaluat	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD				
Title Gene and Church Streets	ID# 101000037	PLANNING GROUP			
Sponsor (name of entity) Needville (Municipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No				
Technical committee recommend X Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10			
Study Type Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mappin Other	ng and risk assessment Feas	ibility study X Preliminary project engineering			
Problem Area					
City Needville County Fort Bend	N				
Watershed Cedar Creek name(s)					
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary		Union of the			
HUC# 12090401,12070104 Stream miles (est.) TBD		Con St			
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.16 or acreage, est. 104		State State State			
Social vulnerability index 0.1 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.) Other Roadway/Crossing Improvements					

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The proposed improvements include installation of culverts. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 321. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

•

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.10

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate the proposed culvert crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$50,000

Flood Manage	ement Evaluat	ion (FME) _{STUDY}		olorado-Lavaca
Title 800 Block W San Antonio		ID# 101000038		NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Frederi	cksburg (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No		
Technical committee recommend	d 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RFPG r	recommend Yes No		REGION 10
Study Type		_		
Emergency preparedness Other	Floodplain modeling, mappir	ig and risk assessment Fe	easibility study	X Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area		N		
City Fredericksburg	County Gillespie		and the second	
Watershed Barons Creek name(s)			Scherty	
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary	y	Mark Car	9	A CONTRACTOR
HUC# 12090206	Stream miles (est.) TBD	TELL MA	1000	A STA
Drainage area: square miles, est	0.00 or acreage, est. 1	118 C	Sal Press	
Social vulnerability index 0.1 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerab	ble; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	and the		Sector Sec
Other Roadway/Crossing Improv	vements & Channel Improvements			

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The existing crossing is a multi-box (2) crossing. The proposed improvements include channels and drop structures. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 510. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Dee

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.15

Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing culvert crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$50,000

Flood Management Evaluat	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD				
Title South End of Acorn Street	ID# 101000039	PLANNING GROUP			
Sponsor (name of entity) Fredericksburg (Municipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No				
Technical committee recommend X Yes No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10			
Study Type					
Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mapping	ng and risk assessment 🛛 🗙 Fea	sibility study Preliminary project engineering			
Other					
Problem Area	N				
City Fredericksburg County Gillespie					
Watershed Barons Creek name(s)		6 ⁵			
Tributary(ies) Barons Creek		Aconst			
HUC# 12090206 Stream miles (est.) 0.10	Oree e	6			
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.00 or acreage, est. 2	× I	and the second second			
Social vulnerability index 0.1 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)					
Other Channel Improvements					

There is a lack of conveyance from Acorn Street to Barons Creek. Stormwater runs off public right-of-way through private property and is creating local flooding issues as well as eroding the left bank of the Creek. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results would provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 1

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

- - -

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.10

Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost

Flood Management Evaluat		ower Colorado-Lavaca			
Title Bowie & Peach Street		PLANNING GROUP			
Sponsor (name of entity) Fredericksburg (Municipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No				
Technical committee recommend 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RFPG i	recommend Yes No	REGION 10			
Study Type					
Emergency preparedness Floodplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment 📃 Feasibilit	y study X Preliminary project engineering			
Other					
Problem Area	N				
City Fredericksburg County Gillespie					
Watershed Barons Creek name(s)		Mausen Schubert St			
Tributary(ies) Barons Creek		What is a second			
HUC# 12090206 Stream miles (est.) TBD	× 40 Cr	Welling W			
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.06 or acreage, est. 36	TO PARK PROPERTY AND A TANK	*			
Social vulnerability index 0.1 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)					
Other Drainage System Improvements	S Bowie St	290			

The storm sewer system and curb inlets need to be upgraded to include two 36" RCPs. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 250

Scope of Study

Structures at risk 90

Critical facilities at risk 0 1.08

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, rightof-way needs, and constructability).

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

Estimated Study Cost

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manag	gement Evaluat	tion (FME) STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title 112 W Park		ID# 101000044	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Frede	ricksburg (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommen	nd 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness Other	Floodplain modeling, mappin	ng and risk assessment 🛛 🗾 Fe	easibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area		N	
City Fredericksburg	County Gillespie		
Watershed Barons Creek name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributa	ary		W _P
HUC# 12090206	Stream miles (est.) 0.10		ark St
Drainage area: square miles, es	t 0.00 or acreage, est. 1	1000	A STATE AND A STATE
Social vulnerability index 0.1 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulner	able; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	Carlos Y	
Other Channel Improvements		12.1	

There is a lack of conveyance from Park Street to Barons Creek. Stormwater runs off public right-of-way through private property and is creating local flooding issues as well as eroding the left bank of the Creek. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 1

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.10

Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

Estimated Study Cost