FME Batch 2A 9-						9-Jun-22		
	Action Number	Action Name	County	Page Number	TC Rec	Tech Committee Rec	RFPG Rec	RFPG Rec
				0	(Y/N)	Date	(Y/N)	Date
	101000001	Drainage System Improvements	Bastrop	1	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000004	Gotier Trace Low Water Crossings	Bastrop	2	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000005	Lakeview Drive & Tuck Street	Bastrop	3	Yes	5/25/2022		
	10100008	Clear Springs Lake Dam	Bastrop	4	Yes	5/25/2022		
-1	101000023	Gills Branch	Bastrop	5	Yes	5/25/2022		
2A	101000027	FM 812 at Little Alum Creek	Bastrop	6	Yes	5/25/2022		
tch	101000028	FM 812 at Alum Creek South	Bastrop	7	Yes	5/25/2022		
Ba	101000102	Piney Creek Benching	Bastrop	8	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000103	Design System Improvements - JC Madison Addition	Bastrop	9	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000104	Citywide Drainage System Improvements	Bastrop	10	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000125	Alum Creek - Tributary 8, Bowie Drive	Bastrop	11	Yes	5/25/2022		
ľ	101000108	Develop New/Updated Floodplain Maps	Blanco	12	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000113	Burnet County Flood Early Warning System	Burnet	13	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000109	CR 332 Drainage Improvements	Brazoria	14	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000110	Various Culverts Along Stevenson Slough	Brazoria	15	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000136	Highway 36	Brazoria	16	Yes	5/25/2022		
-2	101000121	Various Streets - Install Flood Early Warning Systems	Fort Bend	17	Yes	5/25/2022		
24	101000029	Magnolia St	Brown	18	Yes	5/25/2022		
tch	101000111	Adopt Flood Insurance Rate Maps	Brown	19	Yes	5/25/2022		
Ba	101000137	CR257 at Pecan Bayou (Tenmile Crossing)	Brown	20	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000160	Delaware Creek Flood Study	Brown	21	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000032	Mission Hills Street	Burnet	22	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000114	Shade Grove Flood Study	Burnet	23	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000116	Whitman Branch Bypass; Oak Ridge Drive Creek	Burnet	24	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000159	Watewater Treatment Plant Flood Study	Burnet	25	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000161	VFW Flood Study	Burnet	26	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000171	Citywide Floodplain Remapping	Burnet	27	Yes	5/25/2022		
-3	101000034	Lum Rd, Hilltop Rd, FM 2919 N	Fort Bend	28	Yes	5/25/2022		
1 2 A	101000035	Drainage Improvements to Crawford Outlet Right-of-Way	Fort Bend	29	Yes	5/25/2022		
atch	101000037	Gene and Church Streets	Fort Bend	30	Yes	5/25/2022		
Ba	101000038	800 Block W San Antonio	Gillespie	31	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000039	South End of Acorn Street	Gillespie	32	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000042	Bowie & Peach Street	Gillespie	33	Yes	5/25/2022		
	101000044	112 W Park	Gillespie	34	Yes	5/25/2022		

Flood Manage	ment Evaluati	on (FME) STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Burnet County Flood Early V	Varning Systems	ID# 101000113	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Burnet (C	ounty)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommend	🗙 Yes 📃 No 💦 RFPG r	ecommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mappin	g and risk assessment X	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Burnet		
Watershed Multiple Watersheds name(s)			
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary			
HUC# 12090201,12090205 Str	eam miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est 1,0	016.05 or acreage, est. 650,2	72	
Social vulnerability index 0.19 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable;	: 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	and the second	Round Rock
Other Install Flood Early Waning Sy	rstem	A A CONTRACT	

The county has identified multiple roadway crossings that may be overtopped during LCRA Floodgate operations and where roadway crossing improvements are not feasible. Proposed study will identify priority crossings to receive flood warning systems or other safety improvements. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood-risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 8,505

Structures at risk 2,835

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

- .

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 43.31

## Scope of Study

Evaluate the type of flood early warnings system (flashers, barricades, signage) and communication systems requirements for the installation and long-term maintenance of the system. Include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (if needed) including depth, duration and frequency of flooding, daily traffic counts, and length of detour (minutes),

#### Related Goal(s)

2.1 Increase the number of communities with warning and emergency response capabilities, or which participate in regional flood warning systems (e.g., City of Austin Flood Early Warning System) that can detect flood threats in real time and provide timely warning of impending flood danger. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

## **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manag	gement Evalua <sup>-</sup>	tion (FME) STUDY	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title CR 332 Drainage Improv	/ements	ID# 101000109	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Swee	ny (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommer	nd 🗙 Yes 👘 No 👘 RFPG	Grecommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mapp	ping and risk assessment	easibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area			
City Sweeny	County Brazoria		
Watershed East Matagorda Bay name(s)	y, Bell Creek - San Bernard River		1459
Tributary(ies) Cedar Lake Creek	<		Sweeny
HUC# 12090402,12090401	Stream miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, es	t 0.21 or acreage, est. 137	/	
Social vulnerability index 0.21 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulner	able; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Drainage System Improv	rements		

The Sponsor has indicated the existing stormwater infrastructure on CR322 is undersized. The existing flood risk is not well defined, and the risk indicators are based on the study area. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 27

Structures at risk 9

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Ro

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 2.89

## Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

#### Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

#### **Estimated Study Cost**

Flood Manage	ment Evalua	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title Various Culverts Along Stev	enson Slough	ID# 101000110	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Sweeny (	Municipality)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommend	X Yes No RFPG	Frecommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mapp	ing and risk assessment	Feasibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N Old Occorr	359
City Sweeny	County Brazoria		
Watershed East Matagorda Bay, Be name(s)	ell Creek - San Bernard River		
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary		S YET BAT	a stand of the second s
HUC# 12090402,12090401 St	ream miles (est.) TBD	ST REAL	1459
Drainage area: square miles, est 3.	08 or acreage, est. 1,9	73	
Social vulnerability index 0.61 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable	; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	N.X.	
Other Roadway/Crossing Improve	ments		Sweeny

The Sponsor has indicated there are multiple low water crossings in Stevenson Slough that are undersized and overtop. Proposed improvements include upsizing the culverts. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 3.80

## Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing culverts. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

#### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

# **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$125,000

Flood Managem	ient Evaluati	on (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Highway 36		ID# 101000136	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Jones Creek (	Municipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	
Technical committee recommend $X$ Ye	es No RFPG re	commend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, mapping	and risk assessment	easibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Jones Creek Co	ounty Brazoria		
Watershed Mound Creek, Bell Creek name(s)			Angleton
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary			
HUC# 12090401,12070104 Stream	n miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est 34.20	or acreage, est. 21,890		Lake Jackson
Social vulnerability index 0.21 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0	indicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Roadway/Crossing Improvemen	ts / Channel Improvements	Bay City	

The existing crossings are undersized and overtop. The proposed improvements include widening roadside ditches and upsizing the existing cross culverts. The existing road is a 4-lane highway with an average daily traffic count of 18,407. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 23.10

## Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing culvert crossings. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

#### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

# **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manager	nent Evaluat	ion (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Various Streets - Install Flood	Early Warning Systems	ID# 101000121	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Fort Bend (	(County)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	
Technical committee recommend $X$	Yes No RFPG r	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness Other	Floodplain modeling, mappin	ig and risk assessment 🛛 🗙 Fi	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Fort Bend		
Watershed Multiple Watersheds name(s)			Sugar Lanc
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary		ALC: CONTROL	Rosenberg
HUC# 12090401,12070104 Stre	am miles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est 882	.72 or acreage, est. 564,9	43	VICE A ATTACK
Social vulnerability index 0.09 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1	1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)	and the second	
Other Install Flood Early Waning Sys	tem		

The city has identified multiple roadway crossings that overtop and where structural improvements are not feasible. Proposed study will identify priority crossings to receive flood warning systems or other safety improvements.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

\_\_\_\_\_

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 26.03

## Scope of Study

Evaluate the type of flood early warnings system (flashers, barricades, signage) and communication systems requirements for the installation and long-term maintenance of the system. Include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (if needed) including depth, duration and frequency of flooding, daily traffic counts, and length of detour (minutes).

## **Related Goal(s)**

2.1 Increase the number of communities with warning and emergency response capabilities, or which participate in regional flood warning systems (e.g., City of Austin Flood Early Warning System) that can detect flood threats in real time and provide timely warning of impending flood danger. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

## **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$150,000

Flood Mana	gement Eval	TUDY Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title Magnolia St		ID# 101000029	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Bro	ownwood (Municipality)	Commitment X Yes	No
Technical committee recomm	nend 🗙 Yes 📃 No	RFPG recommend Yes	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparednes	s 🛛 🗧 Floodplain modeling	g, mapping and risk assessment	Feasibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Brownwood	County Brown		
Watershed Delaware Creek name(s)	- Pecan Bayou		ay lean st
Tributary(ies) Willis Creek			
HUC# 12090107	Stream miles (est.) TBD	and the second	
Drainage area: square miles,	est 0.07 or acreage, est	t. 48	TREE
Social vulnerability index 0.2 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vul	8 nerable; 1.0 indicates most vulnero	able.)	HABIN E
Other Roadway/Crossing Im	provements & Channel Improv	vements	

The existing roadside ditch and culvert are undersized resulting in localized flooding and roadway overtopping. Proposed improvements include improvements to the ditch and culvert. The existing main stem road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 5,804. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 273

Structures at risk 91

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 7

\_\_\_\_\_

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.66

## Scope of Study

Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

#### Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

# **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manag	gement Evalu	ation (FN	AE) STUDY	Lower Colorad	lo-Lavaca FLOOD
Title Adopt Flood Insurance F	Rate Maps	ID# 101	000111	PLANNING	GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Brow	nwood (Municipality)	Commitment	t 🗙 Yes 👘 No		
Technical committee recomme	nd 🗙 Yes 📃 No	RFPG recommend	Yes No	REGION 1	0
Study Type Emergency preparedness Other	X Floodplain modeling, r	napping and risk asses	sment Feasik	oility study Prelimir	ary project engineering
Problem Area		N	Constant Provide		
City Brownwood	County Brown				
Watershed Elm Creek - Pecan I name(s) Delaware Creek - P	3ayou, Adams Branch - Pecan I ecan Bayou	Bayou,		637	H
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributa	ary		Q. July all	Brownwood	A LOS ROLL
HUC# 12090107	Stream miles (est.) TBD			Diowiwood	CARACIA:
Drainage area: square miles, es	t 14.82 or acreage, est.	9,482	AND DO	A Charles	<b>学生教学</b> 们。
Social vulnerability index 0.28 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulner	able; 1.0 indicates most vulnerabl	e.)			
Other Watershed Study					

The existing floodplain maps are outdated and do not reflect current flood risk.

Population at risk 6,731

Structures at risk 1,219

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 1,404

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 29.44

## Scope of Study

The study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) and will develop new floodplain maps that reflect current flood risk.

#### Related Goal(s)

3.1 Increase the number of entities that have updated watershed models and floodplain maps to reflect current conditions, including as applicable Atlas 14 (Volume 11) revised rainfall data. 3.3 Increase the number of entities that have digital flood insurance rate maps (DFIRMs) that reflect current conditions.

#### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$250,000

Flood Manag	gement Evalua	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD	
Title CR257 at Pecan Bayou	(Tenmile Crossing)	ID# 101000137	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Brow	wn (County)	Commitment X Yes No	I LAMMING OROOT
Technical committee recomme	end 🗙 Yes 📃 No 🛛 RF	PG recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain modeling, ma	pping and risk assessment	Feasibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City N/A	County Brown		
Watershed Double Creek - Peo name(s)	can Bayou		
Tributary(ies) Pecan Bayou		and the second second	
HUC# 12090107	Stream miles (est.) TBD	Constant of the	a <sup>ph</sup>
Drainage area: square miles, e	est 0.00 or acreage, est. 0		ROP
Social vulnerability index 0.28 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulne	erable; 1.0 indicates most vulnerable.)		Country
Other Roadway/Crossing Imp	rovements / Channel Improveme	nts	

The existing bridge is undersized and overtops. The proposed improvements will upgrade the bridge based on the Texas Department of Transportation Hydraulic Design Manual. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 175. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 0

Structures at risk 0

Critical facilities at risk 0 ed (miles) 0.05

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 0

# Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate the crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

## Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

# **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$100,000

Flood Managem	Lower Colorado-Lavaca		
Title Delaware Creek Flood Study		ID# 101000160	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Brownwood (N	Municipality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	I LANNING OROOT
Technical committee recommend $X$ Yes	s No RFPG r	ecommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness	loodplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment 🛛 🗙 Fe	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Brownwood Co	ounty Brown		
Watershed Delaware Creek - Pecan Bay name(s)	ou	Brown	E77
Tributary(ies) Delaware Creek			
HUC# 12090107 Stream	miles (est.) TBD	A CALLE	Early 1467
Drainage area: square miles, est 10.50	or acreage, est. 6,718	PAL AL AL	
Social vulnerability index 0.28 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 i	indicates most vulnerable.)	Brownwood Bluff View	A CAMPANA AN
Other Watershed Study		No. Contraction	84

The area has multiple local drainage problems and portions of the region are at risk of flooding. The area has experienced excessive flow depth and velocity, has structures at risk, historical flood damages, and channel erosion. The existing flood risk is not well defined, and the risk indicators are based on the study area. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 85

Structures at risk 54

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 760

liuciules al lisk 54

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 2.21

## Scope of Study

The flood study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to identify priority flood risk areas, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

## **Related Goal(s)**

5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects.

## **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$150,000

Flood Manag	gement	Evaluat	tion (FME) <sub>STUDY</sub>	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD
Title Mission Hills Street			ID# 101000032	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Mark	ole Falls (Municipal	lity)	Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recomme	end 🗙 Yes 👘 No	RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type				
Emergency preparedness	Floodplain	modeling, mappi	ng and risk assessment	Feasibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other				
Problem Area			N	
City Marble Falls	County Bur	net		
Watershed Backbone Creek name(s)				281
Tributary(ies) Whitman Brancl	n			
HUC# 12090205	Stream miles (es	st.) TBD	and shares a	
Drainage area: square miles, e	st 4.21 or ac	reage, est. 2,69	3	
Social vulnerability index 0.19 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulne	rable; 1.0 indicates m	nost vulnerable.)	1431	
Other Roadway/Crossing Impl	rovements & Chani	nel Improvements	5	1431

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops. The proposed improvements include building a multi-span bridge crossing. The existing main stem road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 265. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 745

Structures at risk 60

Critical facilities at risk 0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 147

Roadway(s) impacted (miles) 0.81

## Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate upsizing the existing crossing. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

## Related Goal(s)

6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

# **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$100,000

Flood Manageme	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD		
Title Shade Grove Flood Study		ID# 101000114	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Burnet (Municip	pality)	Commitment 🗙 Yes 📃 No	
Technical committee recommend $X$ Yes	No RFPG	recommend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness Flo	odplain modeling, mappir	ng and risk assessment 🛛 🗙 F	easibility study Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Burnet Cou	inty Burnet		
Watershed Headwaters Hamilton Creek name(s)			The second se
Tributary(ies) Unnamed Tributary			
HUC# 12090205,12070205 Stream r	niles (est.) TBD		
Drainage area: square miles, est 0.22	or acreage, est. 138	963	STATE AND DE LES
Social vulnerability index 0.19 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 indicates least vulnerable)	dicates most vulnerable.)		
Other Watershed Study		A DE CONTRACTOR	

The Sponsor has indicated the existing stormwater infrastructure in the study area is undersized and the area is at risk of street flooding, property flooding, and potential structural flooding. The existing flood risk is not well defined, and the risk indicators are based on the study area. Study results will provide a more detailed assessment of existing flood and potential flood risk reduction that will be used to evaluate projects for future planning cycles.

Population at risk 150

Structures at risk 55

Critical facilities at risk 0

0.19

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 10

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

# Scope of Study

The study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall) to identify priority flood risk areas, preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

#### Related Goal(s)

5.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical infrastructure that are at high risk of repetitive loss through property/easement acquisitions, relocations, floodproofing and/or elevation. 6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

## **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$100,000

Flood Managem	Lower Colorado-Lavaca REGIONAL FLOOD		
Title Whitman Branch Bypass; Oak Ridge Drive Creek		ID# 101000116	PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity) Marble Falls (Municipality)		Commitment X Yes No	
Technical committee recommend $X$ Yes	No RFPG rec	commend Yes No	REGION 10
Study Type			
Emergency preparedness Fl	oodplain modeling, mapping	and risk assessment	Feasibility study X Preliminary project engineering
Other			
Problem Area		N	
City Marble Falls Con	unty Burnet		
Watershed Backbone Creek name(s)			281
Tributary(ies) Whitman Branch			
HUC# 12090205 Stream miles (est.) TBD		a started at the	
Drainage area: square miles, est 3.60	or acreage, est. 2,305	A A A A	
Social vulnerability index 0.19 (SVI score 0.0 indicates least vulnerable; 1.0 ir	ndicates most vulnerable.)	AB	
Other Roadway/Crossing Improvements / Channel Improvements			

The existing crossing is undersized and overtops, potentially impacting surrounding structures. The proposed improvements include installing a 50 foot wide bypass channel. The existing road is a 2-lane road with an average daily traffic count of 265. The existing risk indicators are based on available data and will be better defined as part of the study. Study results will include detailed assessments of existing flood risk and potential flood risk reduction to be used in evaluating projects for future funding cycles.

Population at risk 109

Structures at risk 40

Critical facilities at risk 0

0.29

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 126

Roadway(s) impacted (miles)

#### Scope of Study

Conduct a study to evaluate the area. Study will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling (with Atlas 14 rainfall), preliminary design of improvements, risk reduction analysis, verification of no adverse impacts, preparation of cost estimates and a benefit-cost-analysis, and an evaluation of potential constraints (environmental, utility conflicts, right-of-way needs, and constructability).

#### Related Goal(s)

6.1 Reduce the number of structures and critical facilities that are at high risk of repetitive loss through the implementation of structural flood mitigation projects. 6.2 Increase the number of entities that mitigate flood risk at vulnerable roadways or waterways (e.g., low-water crossings, irrigation canals).

#### **Estimated Study Cost**

Cost \$100,000